

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING I | DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|----------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/028,989 | 12/28/2 | 2001 | Ronald J. Pettis | 7767-177409 | 4392 |
| 7 | 590 | 06/09/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| JONES DAY 222 EAST 41S | | | WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE | | |
| NEW YORK, | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 3763 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| 10/028,989 | PETTIS ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Catherine S. Williams | 3763 |

| before the rining of all Appear blief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|--|---|---|--|
| | Catherine S. Williams | 3763 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover she t with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF 1. ⊠ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o | | | pandonment of |
| this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep | ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o | f the final rejection. | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | , which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must l | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal (| of the appeal. |
| AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)☑ They raise new issues that would require further co | onsideration and/or search (see NO | | because |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowater) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | • • | ejected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendmen | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | omphant / mionamon | . (. 102 02 1). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendn | nent canceling |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>69-75,77-95,97-104</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | nils to provide a (1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after t | entry is below or attach | cnea. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | in condition for allowa | ance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | . (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | Car | hi_S. Will ES. WILLIAMS |
| | | | Y EXAMINER |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The change in the claim terminology from "distribution" to "bioavailability" requires a new search/consideration..